


ENGINEERING COUNCIL OF SOUTH AFRICA <i>Standards and Procedures System</i>			 E C S A
Procedure for Handling Appeals against Accreditation Decisions			
Status: Approved by Council			
Document : E-16-P	Rev-1.1	30 July 2009	

1. Purpose

Section 40(2) of the Engineering Profession Act 2000 allows the council to delegate powers to its committees but does not permit the power to hear an appeal to be so delegated. This policy prescribes how appeals by education providers against decisions of the Accreditation Committees or Council itself in the case of withdrawal of accreditation.

This policy applies to appeals after the accreditation decision of the accreditation committee or council as appropriate in the particular case has been conveyed to the provider. The policy does not provide for appeals before the accreditation committee has considered the report and recommendation of the accreditation team.

2. Definitions

For the purpose of this policy:

“Act” means the Engineering Professions Act, 2000;

“Accreditation Committee” means a committee with delegated power of council to make decisions on education programmes to grant accreditation, with or without conditions, and to withhold or withdraw accreditation;

“Appeal Committee” means a committee constituted in terms of rule 5;

“Appellant” means the education provider who is the applicant in rule 1;

“Chief Executive Officer” is the person appointed in terms of section 8(1) of the Act;

“Council” means the Engineering Council of South Africa established in terms of section 2 of the Act;

“Provider” means a higher education institution that provides engineering education programmes.

3. Appeal Procedure

The accreditation committee must, in the normal course of events and in terms of its procedures

- consider the findings and recommendations of the team, together with any representations made by the provider;
- decide in terms of the powers delegated to it by the Council; and
- advise the provider of its decision accordingly.

If a provider is aggrieved by the decision of the accreditation committee the following procedure must be followed.

1. The provider concerned may appeal to the council by lodging such appeal, in writing, with the chief executive officer within 30 days from the date on which the provider has been notified by the chief executive officer of the decision of the accreditation committee.
2. Such appeal must be in writing and must set out the grounds on which the provider seeks to rely and provide such information as is necessary to support these grounds.
3. The chief executive officer may grant extension of time for the lodging of an appeal for reasons he/she deems sufficient.

4. The chief executive officer must, within 14 days after receipt of the appeal, submit the appeal, together with all documentation lodged with the appeal, to the accreditation committee that made the decision or recommendation to refuse accreditation, and request such committee to examine the grounds for the appeal and furnish its comments to the chief executive officer within 21 days after receipt of the request from the chief executive officer.
5. The chief executive officer must appoint an appeal committee, consisting of no more than 4 members, from a panel of persons approved by the Council. These persons must be appropriately qualified to hear the particular case under appeal, and no member of the appeal committee so appointed may be a member of the committee from which the original decision emanated or the team that visited the provider.
6. The chief executive officer must designate the chairperson from the members of the appeal committee.
7. After receipt of the comments of the committee referred to in rule 4, the chief executive officer must without delay submit the appeal in question, together with all supporting documentation, as well as such comments to the appeal committee for consideration, and at the same time determine, in consultation with the members of the appeal committee, a date and venue for considering the appeal.
8. The appeal committee must invite the appellant to present his or her case and may call on a representative of the committee referred to in rule 4 to present the views of that committee.
9. The appeal committee must consider all evidence so presented and come to a decision by majority vote, which decision shall have the status of a recommendation. If there is an equality of votes the chairman has a casting vote.
10. The chief executive officer must, without delay, submit the recommendation of the appeal committee to the Council for decision at its next scheduled meeting. If such meeting is not scheduled to take place within 60 days of receipt of the appeal, the chief executive officer must, in consultation with the president of the Council, convene a special meeting of the Council as soon as is practicable.
11. The Council may confirm, vary or revoke the recommendation of the appeal committee, or come to its own decision. The chief executive officer must notify the appellant of its decision and provide him or her with adequate reasons for its decision.
12. Any member of council who was a member of the accreditation team or the committee that made the decision being appealed may not vote on the appeal in Council.
13. The notification referred to in paragraph 12 must contain an advice to the appellant that he or she may appeal against the decision to the Council for the Built Environment in terms of section 21 of the Council for the Built Environment Act, 2000.

Revision History

Version	Date	Revision authorised by	Nature of revision
Rev-0 Draft A	24 May 2007	APWG	Adapted from appeal procedure for registration decisions
Revision 1.1	30 July 2009	Education Committee	Paragraph 12 added