**The Council, at its ordinary meeting held on 02ndof April 2025, resolved as follows on the matters before it in respect of Section 29(1) of The Engineering Profession Act No 46 of 2000, which reads as follows;- *The Council must, after considering a report of the Investigating Committee in terms of section 28(2)(b) and (4), charge a Registered Person with improper conduct if the Council is convinced that sufficient grounds exist for a charge to be preferred against such a Registered Person.***

# Case 1

There is no prima facie evidence of improper conduct by the Registered Person, a Professional Engineering Technologist, and the Registered Person should not be charged.

# Case 2

There is no prima facie evidence of improper conduct by the Registered Person, a Professional Engineer, and the Registered Person should not be charged.

# Case 3

There is no prima facie evidence of improper conduct by the Registered Person, a Professional Engineer, and the Registered Person should not be charged.

# Case 4

There is prima facie evidence of improper conduct by the Registered Person, a Professional Engineering Technologist, and charges(s) must be preferred.

The Registered Person must be charged for contravening Rule 3.1(a), Rule 3.1(b), Rule 3.1(c), Rule 3.2(j) and Rule 4(c) of the Code of Conduct for Registered Persons.

**Case 5**

There is no prima facie evidence of improper conduct by the Registered Person, a Professional Engineering Technologist, and the Registered Person should not be charged.

There is no prima facie evidence of improper conduct by the Registered Person, a Professional Engineering Technologist, and the Registered Person should not be charged.

There is no prima facie evidence of improper conduct by the Registered Person, a Professional Engineering Technologist, and the Registered Person should not be charged.

**Case 6**

To classify the matter as a commercial dispute. There is no prima facie evidence of improper conduct by the Registered Person, a Professional Engineer, and the Registered Person should not be charged.

**Case 7**

To classify the matter as a commercial dispute. There is no prima facie evidence of improper conduct by the Registered Person, a Professional Engineer, and the Registered Person should not be charged.